

---

## Scepticism

Posted by James - 2007/09/05 09:12

---

I thought that I would take a look at Wikipedia on this and found this opinion:

The hypothesis that flower remedies are associated with effects beyond a placebo response is not supported by data from rigorous clinical trials.

Unlike other treatments, there does not seem to be any medical support for this beyond placebo effects.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bach\\_flower\\_remedy#\\_ref-0](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bach_flower_remedy#_ref-0)

Post edited by: James, at: 2007/09/05 01:12

---

## Re:Scepticism

Posted by Forgive Darkness - 2007/09/25 06:57

---

It is true this is not really supported by any scientific studies or evidence. Yet countless accounts seem to think that there is definite health benefits and that it does work.

---

## Re:Scepticism

Posted by Alanah - 2008/07/15 03:01

---

Why is it that when a healing of any sort is attributed to 'placebo effect' the process is dismissed as of no real importance? Surely what is important in any healing process is results. While I understand the need for scientific reasoning, is the science behind the healing more important than the healing itself? I think it is important to keep in mind our end goal, what is more important when our health is undermined, actually getting well again or understanding why we did get better? Absence of proof is not necessarily proof of absence. That said, Dr Masaru Emoto has done some very interesting work that provides factual evidence that human vibrational energy, thoughts, words, ideas and music, affect the molecular structure of water so it may be plausible that flowers can effect water too. The very same water that comprises over seventy percent of a mature human body.

---

## Re:Scepticism

Posted by Johnson486 - 2011/12/13 21:05

---

wikipedia is really largest way to get information about any thing i think Karma give you good link.

---